

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/713,157	SHIRAKAWA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thomas M. Lithgow	1724	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Holman. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 March 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

US 2851164 (MORINO)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Amend claim 1 to define over the Morino '164 reference. See Ex's Amd't and reasons for allowance.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



THOMAS M. LITHGOW  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER  
 GROUP 1700

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)